

## Appendix C - Schedule of Proposed Changes to Fleet Street, Whitefriars and Chancery Lane Conservation Area SPDs, February 2016

Page (New Version)	Section	SPD	Proposed Change	Reason for change
All	All	All	Correction of 'English Heritage' to 'Historic England' and amendment of relevant links in the Bibliography	To reflect the organisation's new name
12	4. Historical Development	Fleet Street	Change the date of Whitefriars' foundation from '1253' to '1241' in the last paragraph	Response to a City of London Archaeological Trust (CoLAT) correction
15		Fleet Street	Correct 'Farringdon Street' to 'New Bridge Street' in second paragraph	Response to a CoLAT correction
51	16. Transport	Fleet Street	Insert sentence:  <i>New Bridge Street, Ludgate Circus and Farringdon Street are part of the Transport for London Road Network (TLRN). Any proposals for public realm or street enhancement in the TLRN will require consultation with Transport for London.</i>	Response to a Transport for London (TfL) comment
52	17. Management of open spaces and trees	Fleet Street	Insert sentence:  <i>TfL does not support the removal of trees from its TLRN corridor (see section 16) and TfL approval is required prior to any tree pruning, removal or development.</i>	Response to a TfL comment
12	4. Historical development	Whitefriars	Paragraph 1, line 6: delete 'and prison' and replace with 'known as Bridewell Hospital'	Response to a CoLAT comment
45	18. Archaeology	Whitefriars	Last bullet: Delete and replace with 'Remains of Bridewell Palace, 1515 and later.'	Response to a CoLAT comment

Page (New Version)	Section	SPD	Proposed Change	Reason for change
All	All	All	Correction of 'English Heritage' to 'Historic England' and amendment of relevant links in the Bibliography	To reflect the organisation's new name
42-43	16. Transport	Whitefriars	Insert sentence:  <i>These routes are part of the Transport for London Road Network (TLRN). Any proposals for public realm or streetscape enhancement in the TLRN will require consultation with Transport for London.</i>	Response to a TfL comment
44	17. Management of open spaces and trees	Whitefriars	Insert sentence:  <i>TfL does not support the removal of trees from its TLRN corridor (see section 16) and TfL approval is required prior to any tree pruning, removal or development.</i>	Response to a TfL comment
22	6. Character analysis	Whitefriars	Insert sentence at end of first paragraph:  <i>The vessel will be temporarily moored elsewhere for the duration of the aforementioned Thames Tideway Tunnel works at Blackfriars.</i>	Response to a Tideway comment
31	7. land uses and related activity	Whitefriars	Insert sentence:  <i>The Thames remains a working river, as it has been for over two thousand years. In the transportation of passengers and freight it has a vitality that contributes to the character of the conservation area. It has played a vital logistical role in a number of riverside developments such as Blackfriars Station. Furthermore, it has a potential role to play in relieving vehicular congestion within central London.</i>	Response to a Port of London Authority (PLA) comment

Page (New Version)	Section	SPD	Proposed Change	Reason for change
All	All	All	Correction of 'English Heritage' to 'Historic England' and amendment of relevant links in the Bibliography	To reflect the organisation's new name
42-43	16. Transport	Whitefriars	Insert sentence:  <i>The river is an important transport conduit for passengers between the pier network and for freight. It has a potential role to play in relieving vehicular congestion within central London. For more information contact the Port of London Authority at <a href="http://www.pla.co.uk">www.pla.co.uk</a>.</i>	Response to a PLA comment
49-50	Appendix one 'designated heritage assets'	Whitefriars	Delete 'Hamilton House, 1' and insert row under Victoria Embankment to read:  'Hamilton House (includes 1 Temple Avenue)	Response to Conservation Area Advisory Committee (CAAC) comment
13	4. Historical development	Chancery Lane	Deletion of 'being established in its current form, including the existing hall, by 1529' and replacement with  'a legal Inn of some antiquity, having its surviving hall and street frontage of the 1580s in place; no doubt it had medieval buildings.'	Response to a CoLAT comment
41	14. Planning policy	Chancery Lane	Deletion of 'draft', third paragraph	Response to CAAC comment
48	Appendix one – designated heritage assets	Chancery Lane	Addition of inset to map to show obelisk	Response to CAAC comment